## Effective RCA<sup>2</sup> Checklist

After an RCA <sup>2</sup> event review, use this checklist to confirm your RCA <sup>2</sup> process is working. If any of the statements is false, then your specific RCA <sup>2</sup> review or your RCA <sup>2</sup> process in general needs to be re-examined and revised because it is failing.
☐ Contributing factors are identified and have supporting data or information.
☐ Individuals are NOT identified as causing the event; causal factors do NOT point to human error or blame.
☐ At least one stronger or intermediate strength action is identified.
<ul> <li>Causal statements comply with the Five Rules of Causation.</li> <li>Rule 1. Clearly show the "cause and effect" relationship.</li> <li>Rule 2. Use specific and accurate descriptors for what occurred, rather than negative and vague.</li> <li>Rule 3. Human errors must have a preceding cause.</li> <li>Rule 4. Violations of procedure are not root causes but must have a preceding cause.</li> <li>Rule 5. Failure to act is only causal when there is a pre-existing duty to act.</li> </ul>
☐ Corrective actions are identified and appear to address the system vulnerabilities identified by the contributing factors.
☐ Action follow-up is assigned to an individual and NOT a group or committee.
☐ Actions have completion dates and meaningful process and outcome measures.
☐ The event review took 45 days or fewer to complete.
☐ There is strong confidence that implementing and sustaining corrective action will significantly reduce the risk of future occurrences of similar events.